

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/914,212	ELLIS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Callie E. Shosho	1714

All Participants:

(1) Callie E. Shosho.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) ____.

(2) Richard Steinberg.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 12 April 2004

Time: ____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

21,24

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability, Part II above.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The examiner's amendment was agreed to and authorized by Mr. Steinberg in order to correct minor grammatical error in claim 21 and to correct claim 24 in light of the amendment to claim 21 as set forth in the amendment filed 2/4/04. Further, examiner noted that the top of each of pages 3-8 of the amendment filed 2/4/04 states the wrong application number. Mr. Steinberg stated that this was an inadvertant error and that all pages of the amendment are for present application 09/914,212.